

## A q-analogue of the supersymmetric oscillator and its q-supercoherent states

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1994 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 6533

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/27/19/023>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.68

The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 22:45

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

## A $q$ -analogue of the supersymmetric oscillator and its $q$ -supercoherent states

Ren-Shan Gong†

CCAST (World Laboratory), PO Box 8730, Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China

Received 3 May 1994, in final form 28 June 1994

**Abstract.** A  $q$ -analogue of the supersymmetric oscillator is constructed out of  $q$ -boson and ordinary fermion creation and annihilation operators.  $q$ -supercoherent states are explicitly obtained for the  $q$ -deformed supersymmetric oscillator. They are shown to be eigenstates of both  $q$ -boson and fermion annihilation operators and to satisfy a completeness relation. The representation of the  $q$ -deformed superalgebra in super Bargmann–Fock space is also discussed by means of the  $q$ -supercoherent states.

The coherent-state method [1] is a very powerful and elegant method for the study of algebra (or group) representations. Recently, this method has been used for the study of superalgebras [2–4] and  $q$ -deformed superalgebras [5, 6]. In [7], a  $q$ -analogue of the supersymmetric oscillator and corresponding  $q$ -superalgebra were constructed out of  $q$ -boson and  $q$ -fermion creation and annihilation operators. Because of the equivalence of both the  $q$ -deformed fermion and the ordinary fermion [8, 9], therefore, it is worth reconstructing the  $q$ -deformed supersymmetric oscillator and  $q$ -superalgebra by using  $q$ -boson and ordinary fermion creation and annihilation operators. Furthermore,  $q$ -supercoherent states as well as super Bargmann–Fock space have been introduced for the study of the  $q$ -supersymmetric oscillator and corresponding  $q$ -superalgebra. It can be seen that some new results obtained here are different from those in [7].

In the ordinary supersymmetric theory the superalgebra [10] is generated by  $H$ ,  $Q_+$  and  $Q_-$ , where  $H$  (Hamiltonian) is the even generator and  $Q_{\pm}$  are the odd generators of the superalgebra. They satisfy the following relations

$$\{Q_+, Q_-\} = H \quad [Q_{\pm}, H] = 0. \quad (1)$$

In order to construct the  $q$ -deformed superalgebra for the  $q$ -analogue of the supersymmetric oscillator, first of all we have to introduce the  $q$ -deformed boson oscillator [11, 12], whose algebra  $\{a_q, a_q^\dagger, N_B\}$  are defined by

$$[a_q, a_q^\dagger] = [N_B + 1] - [N_B] \quad (2a)$$

$$[N_B, a_q^\dagger] = a_q^\dagger \quad [N_B, a_q] = -a_q \quad (2b)$$

† Mailing address: Department of Physics, Nanchang University, Jiangxi 330047, People's Republic of China.

where

$$[x] = \frac{q^x - q^{-x}}{q - q^{-1}}. \quad (3)$$

In addition we introduce further the ordinary fermion creation and annihilation operators  $f^\dagger$  and  $f$ , respectively, and the fermion number operator  $N_f = f^\dagger f$ . As is well known, they satisfy the following relations

$$\{f, f^\dagger\} = 1 \quad f^{\dagger 2} = f^2 = 0 \quad (4a)$$

$$[N_f, f^\dagger] = f^\dagger \quad [N_f, f] = -f. \quad (4b)$$

Now let us discuss the  $q$ -supersymmetric oscillator. Defining the odd generators  $Q_\pm$  by

$$Q_+ = a_q f^\dagger \quad Q_- = a_q^\dagger f \quad (5)$$

which convert a  $q$ -boson into an ordinary fermion and vice versa, respectively, the Hamiltonian  $H$  of the  $q$ -supersymmetric oscillator may be written as

$$H = \{Q_+, Q_-\} = [N_B] + ([N_B + 1] - [N_B])N_f. \quad (6)$$

It is obvious that in the  $q=1$  case the Hamiltonian  $H$  given by (6) coincides with that of the supersymmetric oscillator [3]. Along with operators  $N_B$  and  $N_f$ , some more relations can be also derived easily

$$[N_B, N_f] = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$[Q_\pm, N_B] = \pm Q_\pm \quad [Q_\pm, N_f] = \mp Q_\pm \quad (8)$$

we have thus obtained a  $q$ -superalgebra, defined by the relations (6), (7) and (8). It is seen that this  $q$ -superalgebra is generated by the set  $\{N_B, N_f, Q_+, Q_-\}$ . Even generators  $N_B$  and  $N_f$  generate two commuting  $U(1)$  groups, while the odd generators  $Q_\pm$  contain both  $N_B$  and  $N_f$  in their anticommutator. Since the odd generators  $Q_\pm$  are nilpotent, i.e.

$$Q_\pm^2 = 0 \quad (9)$$

the commutation relation  $[Q_\pm, H] = 0$  is naturally satisfied although  $Q_\pm$  do not commute with both  $N_B$  and  $N_f$ . It means that the Hamiltonian  $H$  of the  $q$ -supersymmetric oscillator is invariant under the  $q$ -superalgebra. This is the same with the  $q=1$  case [3].

In order to define  $q$ -supercoherent states and to discuss their characterization it is necessary to give a representation space. The natural choice is the super Fock space

$$\mathcal{F} = \{|n_B\rangle \otimes |n_F\rangle = |n_B, n_F\rangle \mid (n_B = 0, 1, 2, \dots; n_F = 0, 1)\} \quad (10)$$

with the eigenstates of number operators  $N_B$  and  $N_f$  as basic vectors

$$N_B |n_B, n_F\rangle = n_B |n_B, n_F\rangle \quad N_f |n_B, n_F\rangle = n_F |n_B, n_F\rangle. \quad (11)$$

The fermionic sector is generated by  $|n_B, 1\rangle$  for all values of  $n_B$ ; the  $q$ -bosonic one by  $|n_B, 0\rangle$ . Then starting from the  $q$ -boson vacuum state  $|0, n_F\rangle$  defined by  $a_q |0, n_F\rangle = 0$  one can obtain the  $n_B$ -quanta eigenstate explicitly given by

$$|n_B, n_F\rangle = \frac{(a_q^\dagger)^{n_B}}{\sqrt{[n_B]!}} |0, n_F\rangle \quad (12)$$

with

$$a_q^\dagger |n_B, n_F\rangle = \sqrt{[n_B + 1]} |n_B + 1, n_F\rangle \tag{13a}$$

$$a_q |n_B, n_F\rangle = \sqrt{[n_B]} |n_B - 1, n_F\rangle \tag{13b}$$

and

$$f^\dagger |n_B, 0\rangle = |n_B, 1\rangle \quad f |n_B, 0\rangle = 0 \tag{14a}$$

$$f^\dagger |n_B, 1\rangle = 0 \quad f |n_B, 1\rangle = |n_B, 0\rangle \tag{14b}$$

where

$$[n_B]! = [n_B] \cdot [n_B - 1] \dots [2] \cdot [1]. \tag{15}$$

Now let us define  $q$ -supercoherent states as

$$|z, \eta\rangle = e_q(z a_q^\dagger) (1 - \eta f^\dagger) |0, 0\rangle \tag{16}$$

where  $e_q(z a_q^\dagger)$  is the  $q$ -exponential operator defined by

$$e_q(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{[n]!} \tag{17}$$

and  $z$  is a  $c$ -number (even Grassmann number) while  $\eta$  is an  $a$ -number (odd Grassmann number) [13]. Using an abbreviation

$$|z, n_F\rangle = \sum_{n_B=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_B}}{\sqrt{[n_B]!}} |n_B, n_F\rangle \tag{18}$$

$q$ -supercoherent states may be rewritten as

$$|z, \eta\rangle = |z, 0\rangle - \eta |z, 1\rangle \tag{19}$$

where the  $q$ -bosonic and fermionic sectors  $|z, 0\rangle$  and  $|z, 1\rangle$  of  $q$ -supercoherent states  $|z, \eta\rangle$  have to be regarded as  $c$ - and  $a$ -type states, respectively. The  $q$ -supercoherent states defined by (16) are neither unity normalized nor orthogonal. Actually, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z_1, \eta_1 | z_2, \eta_2 \rangle &= \langle z_1, 0 | z_2, 0 \rangle + \bar{\eta}_1 \eta_2 \langle z_1, 1 | z_2, 1 \rangle \\ &= (1 + \bar{\eta}_1 \eta_2) e_q(\bar{z}_1 z_2). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Further, direct calculation shows that the  $q$ -supercoherent states are eigenstates of both the  $q$ -bosonic and fermionic annihilation operators  $a_q$  and  $f$ . Indeed, we have

$$a_q |z, \eta\rangle = z |z, \eta\rangle \quad f |z, \eta\rangle = \eta |z, \eta\rangle. \tag{21}$$

Note that the completeness relation for the  $q$ -bosonic coherent states can be written as [14]

$$\int |z\rangle_q \langle z| d\mu(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |n\rangle \langle n| \quad |z\rangle_q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{[n]!}} |n\rangle \tag{22}$$

where

$$d\mu(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e_q(-|z|^2) d_q |z|^2 d(\arg z) \tag{23}$$

is the  $q$ -integration measure, the completeness relation for the  $q$ -supercoherent states may be written in a matrix form as

$$\int |z, \eta\rangle D(z, \eta) \langle z, \eta| = I \tag{24}$$

where

$$|z, \eta\rangle = (|z, 0\rangle - \eta|z, 1\rangle) \tag{25a}$$

$$\langle z, \eta| = \begin{pmatrix} \langle z, 0| \\ -\langle z, 1| \bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix} \tag{25b}$$

and the integration measure is denoted by a square matrix

$$D(z, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} d\mu(z) & 0 \\ 0 & d\bar{\eta} d\eta d\mu(z) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{25c}$$

In fact, using (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int |z, \eta\rangle D(z, \eta) \langle z, \eta| \\ &= \int \{ |z, 0\rangle \langle z, 0| + |z, 1\rangle \langle z, 1| \eta \bar{\eta} d\bar{\eta} d\eta \} d\mu(z) \\ &= \int \{ |z, 0\rangle \langle z, 0| + |z, 1\rangle \langle z, 1| \} d\mu(z) \\ &= \sum_{n_B} \{ |n_B, 0\rangle \langle n_B, 0| + |n_B, 1\rangle \langle n_B, 1| \} \\ &= I. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Using the  $q$ -supercoherent states defined by (16), it is not difficult to introduce the super Bargmann–Fock representation, namely

$$\begin{aligned} |n_B, n_F\rangle &\rightarrow x_{n_B, n_F}(z, \eta) = (\bar{z}, \bar{\eta} | n_B, n_F\rangle \\ &= (\bar{z}, 0 | n_B, n_F\rangle + \eta(\bar{z}, 1 | n_B, n_F\rangle \\ &= (\delta_{n_F, 0} + \eta \delta_{n_F, 1}) \frac{z^{n_B}}{\sqrt{[n_B]!}} \end{aligned} \tag{27a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= \sum_{n_B} \{ c_{n_B} |n_B, 0\rangle + d_{n_B} |n_B, 1\rangle \} \rightarrow \psi(z, \eta) = (\bar{z}, \bar{\eta} | \psi\rangle = \psi_0(z) + \eta \psi_1(z) \\ &= \sum_{n_B} \{ c_{n_B} + \eta d_{n_B} \} \frac{z^{n_B}}{\sqrt{[n_B]!}} \end{aligned} \tag{27b}$$

where, in general,  $\psi_0(z) = (\bar{z}, 0 | \psi\rangle$  and  $\psi_1(z) = (\bar{z}, 1 | \psi\rangle$  are analytic functions of complex variable  $z$ . In the super Bargmann–Fock space, the following expressions for

the generators of  $q$ -superalgebra can easily be derived

$$\Gamma(Q_+) = \eta \frac{d}{d_q z} \quad \Gamma(Q_-) = z \frac{d}{d\eta} \tag{28a}$$

$$\Gamma(N_B) = z \frac{d}{dz} \quad \Gamma(N_f) = \eta \frac{d}{d\eta}. \tag{28b}$$

Actually, we have, for example, from (27a, b)

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{z}, \bar{\eta} | Q_+ | \psi \rangle &= (\bar{z}, \bar{\eta} | a_q f^\dagger | \psi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n_B} c_{n_B} \sqrt{[n_B]} (\bar{z}, \bar{\eta} | n_B - 1, 1) \\ &= \eta \sum_{n_B} c_{n_B} [n_B] \frac{z^{n_B - 1}}{\sqrt{[n_B]!}} \\ &= \eta \frac{d}{d_q z} \psi(z, \eta). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Furthermore, the inner product can be written by means of (24) as follows

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = \int \langle \phi | \bar{z}, \bar{\eta} \rangle D(\bar{z}, \bar{\eta}) (\bar{z}, \bar{\eta} | \psi \rangle. \tag{30}$$

In addition, we can also prove that the Hermiticity properties  $Q_\pm^\dagger = Q_\mp$ ,  $N_B^\dagger = N_B$  and  $N_f^\dagger = N_f$  are entirely retained with respect to the inner product given by (30), i.e.

$$\left( \eta \frac{d}{d_q z} \right)^\dagger = z \frac{d}{d\eta} \quad \left( z \frac{d}{d\eta} \right)^\dagger = \eta \frac{d}{d_q z} \tag{31a}$$

$$\left( z \frac{d}{dz} \right)^\dagger = z \frac{d}{dz} \quad \left( \eta \frac{d}{d\eta} \right)^\dagger = \eta \frac{d}{d\eta}. \tag{31b}$$

For example, using (30), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi | Q_+ | \psi \rangle &= \int (\bar{\phi}_0(z) \quad \bar{\eta} \bar{\phi}_1(z)) D(\bar{z}, \bar{\eta}) \begin{pmatrix} \eta \frac{d}{d_q z} \\ \eta \psi_1(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_0(z) \\ \eta \psi_1(z) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \int (\bar{\phi}_0(z) \quad \bar{\eta} \bar{\phi}_1(z)) D(\bar{z}, \bar{\eta}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \eta \frac{d}{d_q z} \psi_0(z) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \int \bar{\phi}_1(z) \frac{d}{d_q z} \psi_0(z) d\mu(z). \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\int \overline{\left( z \frac{d}{d\eta} \right)} (\bar{\phi}_0(z) \quad \bar{\eta} \bar{\phi}_1(z)) D(\bar{z}, \bar{\eta}) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_0(z) \\ \eta \psi_1(z) \end{pmatrix} = \int \bar{z} \bar{\phi}_1(z) \psi_0(z) d\mu(z). \tag{33}$$

Using the  $q$ -analogue of Euler's formula for  $\Gamma(x)$  in [14], it is not difficult to prove that

$$\int \bar{z}\bar{\varphi}_1(z)\psi_0(z) d\mu(z) \approx \int \bar{\varphi}_1(z) \frac{d}{d_q z} \psi_0(z) d\mu(z) \quad (34)$$

for any analytic functions  $\varphi_1(z)$  and  $\psi_0(z)$ . It means that

$$\left( \eta \frac{d}{d_q z} \right)^\dagger = z \frac{d}{d\eta}.$$

It is obvious that the success in super Bargmann-Fock representation follows from the completeness relation, which belongs to the entire super Fock space. As far as I know, there was a similar completeness relation for supercoherent states in [2], but its identity operator acts in the space of even states only.

Finally, we have to point out that the  $q$ -deformed fermion creation and annihilation operators introduced by Parthasarathy and Viswanathan in [7] are not necessary to satisfy (4a), so that not only the  $n$  ( $n > 1$ )  $q$ -fermion states may be defined, but also, in general, the odd generators  $Q_\pm$  in [7] do not satisfy (9). Therefore, the  $q$ -deformed superalgebra defined by (6), (7) and (8) are explicitly different from the one defined by (21) in [7]. If we assume that the  $q$ -deformed fermion creation and annihilation operators have to satisfy (4a), which arises from Pauli's exclusion principle, the  $q$ -deformed fermion will be equivalent to the ordinary one [8, 9]. That is why we reconstruct the  $q$ -supersymmetric oscillator and  $q$ -superalgebra by using  $q$ -boson and ordinary fermion creation and annihilation operators.

In addition, it is worth noticing that the  $q$ -supercoherent states defined by (16) are nothing but a natural and reasonable extension of  $q$ -oscillator coherent states. In fact, the  $q$ -bosonic sector  $|z, 0\rangle$  in (19) is the same as the  $q$ -analogue of the Heisenberg-Weyl ( $\hbar W$ ) coherent states introduced in [15, 16].

## References

- [1] Klauder J R and Skagerstam B S 1985 *Coherent States: Applications in Physics and Mathematical Physics* (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [2] Fatyga B W, Kostelecký V A, Nieto M M and Truax D R 1991 *Phys. Rev. D* **43** 1403
- [3] Bérubé-Lauzière Y and Hussin V 1993 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** 6271
- [4] Le-Man Kuang and Gao-Jian Zeng 1993 *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **19** 447
- [5] Le-Man Kuang 1992 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **25** 4827
- [6] Le-Man Kuang, Gao-Jian Zeng and Fa-Bo Wang 1993 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** 4011
- [7] Parthasarathy R and Viswanathan K S 1991 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24** 613
- [8] Sicong Jing and Jian Jun Xu 1991 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24** L891
- [9] Bonatsos D and Daskaloyannis C 1993 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** 1589
- [10] Gendenshtein L E and Krive I V 1985 *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **28** 645
- [11] Biedenharn L C 1989 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **22** L873
- [12] Macfarlane A J 1989 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **22** 4581
- [13] De Witt B 1984 *Supermanifolds* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [14] Gray R W and Nelson C A 1990 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** L945
- [15] Kulish P P and Damaskinsky E V 1990 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** L415
- [16] Solomon A I and Katriel J 1990 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** L1209